Temperate Forest Regions

Chair: Tim Link Rapporteur: Carmen de Jong

Composition of our group:

- 50 % consultants
- 50 % academics

Questions:

How can the various <u>approaches</u> for <u>hydrological</u> <u>prediction</u> in the forest region be implemented given the <u>availability</u> of <u>meteorological</u> and <u>catchment</u> data and current <u>understanding</u> of hydrology

Small spatial scales, short time scales
 Large scales, longer time scales

Approaches for hydrological prediction

- Depend on the **objectives** and whether **research** or

applied

e.g. water availability for peak / low flow post-project changes such as diversions /dams

- Depend on the **choice of model** (statistical or process-based)

Definition of small spatial scale:

- $-10 200 \text{ km}^2$
- 30 500 m grid (consultants) versus < 1 m grid
 e.g. from LIDAR (researchers)
 Problems with spatial resolution of remote
 sensing such as Landsat e.g. for snow
 modeling. Other tools are starting to be used



Definition of large spatial scale:

< 5000 km²

100 – 250 m (limited by computational efficiency)

tackling issues such as irrigation, fish survival

What is data rich ?

Spatial

-depends on density of meteorological and hydrological (surface) and groundwater (subsurface) stations
-Diversity of data and superimposition of different scales of data

Temporal

-depends on **temporal scale**, **length** and **resolution** of data record

Application

- What is the use of data rich ? Is the full range of

available variables exploited in models ?

What kind of hydrological prediction is necessary ?

Most often stream flow but in the context of forests sometimes ET

Even if data and tools are available, often very simple approach is used, e.g. for oil sands, observed Q, simple numbers without complicated dynamics

Simple, user friendly spreadsheets preferred to complex models

How is the vegetation component implemented in the different models ?

- Mostly very simple, not dynamical, only vegetation class For ET and rooting depth For subsurface approaches it remains a simple, conceptual / bucket approach
- Difficulties of modeling snow in forests (canopy issue) Problems of verification with remote sensing
- Applied issues: how much forest can be cleared without influencing the stream flow

How is the soil component implemented in the different models ?

- Key aspect: how parameters are backcalculated
- Problem of definition of soil depth, many unknowns.
- Often soils only used as **classified soils**. Conceptual / bucket approach. Only knowledge of top 1-2 m.
- Problem of **soil moisture** and **soil texture** definition (infiltration capacity v. high, assume = precipitation)
- How is **macro-porosity** dealt with?
- How are rooting depth and fractures dealt with

How is climate change dealt with ?

- **Choice** of parameters
- **Climate variability / trend analysis**
- Limited to temperature and precipitation
- Invasion of pests (pine beetle)
- Difficulties of downscaling data from IPCC

Longer time scales

-Not much of an issue yet

Longer temporal scales

- Different age classes of trees Vegetation growth / dynamics should be simulated
- Impact of **pests** e.g. Pine beetle
- Migration of species with climate change
- Impacts of forest fire / clear cut / storm damage should be considered

Use of soft data

-Dendrochronology (e.g. for reconstruction of droughts)

-Indictors for low river levels

-Scars on trees left by ice / Debris flow tracks on trees
 -Mapping of inundated areas, correlate with flood wave

-Experience of stakeholders, long term data (e.g. valley flooded every year independent of precipitation)
-Deep snow pack in forests
-Historical trends as experienced by ranchers, turning on of irrigation system
-but caution should be taken on reliability of

observations



- Role of wetlands / lakes and interactions with groundwater regimes not discussed
- Location of meteorological stations in forest clearings or under canopy

Participants

-Nathan Smith -Dan Bewley -Peter Kuras -Sun Chuan -Zion Klas -David Garen -Pedro Chaffe -Chad Ellis -Vincent Forhin Knight Piesold Ltd Hatfield Consultants Northwest Hydraulic Consultants Univ. of Saskatchewan Uni. Of Idaho **USDA-NRCS** Kyoto Univ. Univ. of Saskatchewan Environment Canada