Suspended particulate matter in the White Sea: the results of long-term interdisciplinary research

MARINA KRAVCHISHINA, ALEXEY KLYUVITKIN, ALEXANDER FILIPPOV, ALEXANDER NOVIGATSKY, NADEZHDA POLITOVA, VLADIMIR SHEVCHENKO & ALEXANDER LISITZIN
P.P. Shirshov Institute of Oceanology of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia
kravchishina@ocean.ru

Abstract The spatial and temporal variations in SPM concentration in the White Sea, Russia, are directly (mineral particles from the water basin) or indirectly (“blossom” of diatoms due to supply of nutrients) caused by river runoff. Interannual variations in SPM concentration for the White Sea are low and do not exceed 18% (2003–2010); hence, they are statistically insignificant.
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INTRODUCTION
Suspended particulate matter (SPM) consists of mineral and organic particles of different size ranging from half a micron to several millimetres. The SPM is the main source of bottom sediments and an indicator of sedimentary processes and pathways of particulate substances (including contaminants). In the seas of the Russian Arctic and subArctic, including the White Sea, it is poorly studied. We started research in the White Sea, Russia, in 2000. In this paper the research aims at studying the spatial and temporal variability in the SPM concentration and its main composition in the White Sea using both remote sensing and field observations for acquiring new knowledge about sedimentation processes in the Arctic, as well as making an evaluation of land–ocean interaction in the Arctic Region. This is an important problem for the planned expansion of operations and investigations in the Arctic. The White Sea can be seen as a testing ground for the study of land–ocean interaction in the Arctic shelf seas because this sea is easily accessible for regular investigations. Several problems were covered during the present study:
(a) Generalization of information on the SPM and chlorophyll a (Chl a) for the 13-year period (2000–2012) of studies in the White Sea. Chl a is not only a parameter used for primary production (PP) calculations, Chl a and its derivatives may also be used as markers for assessing the labile forms of organic carbon (Corg) in seawater, where these molecules solely characterize the impact of phytoplankton on organic matter (OM) (Stephens et al. 1997).
(b) Obtaining and analysis of satellite data (MODIS-Aqua colour scanner).
(c) Study of the SPM composition (grain size, mineral composition and OM content).
(d) Foundation of the marine SPM sources.
(e) Revealing any regularity in the spatial and temporal variability in the SPM concentrations.

STUDY AREA
The Arctic Ocean experiences enormous continental runoff (e.g. Gordeev and Rachold 2003), dominated by major Eurasian rivers such as the Northern (or Severnaya) Dvina flowing into the White Sea (Fig. 1). The mouth area is represented by the multichannel delta, 900 km² in extent. The bulk of the terrigenous matter is supplied to the White Sea with riverine runoff in May (Fig. 2). The White Sea is the smallest of six marginal shelf seas of the Eurasian Arctic, with an average water depth 67 m and a maximum water depth of 343 m in the central basin (Berger et al. 2001). It is an enclosed sea, located at the Arctic Circle that can be considered as a sub-extreme environment (Pantyulin 2003). The White Sea connects with the Barents Sea through the quite
narrow Gorlo Strait. It is characterized by a brief vegetative period (about six months). All the rivers of the drainage area are characterized by lower turbidity as compared with the rivers of East Siberia. Despite the fact that the river water’s turbidity is relatively low, the total volume of terrigenous SPM appears to be significant owing to the high annual flow (215 km³).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The material for this study was collected in the interdisciplinary expeditions organized by the P. P. Shirshov Institute of Oceanology of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Our research is based on 3500 samples of SPM in the water column collected during 20 cruises (2000–2012) during open water periods. Water samples were taken using Niskin bottles, taking account of hydrooptical and hydrophysical sounding data. The SPM mass concentration was determined by the standard technique of vacuum filtering at 0.4 atm using membrane filters (diameter 47 mm, pore diameter 0.45 μm) (Cutter et al. 2010). The chemical composition of SPM was examined, the flow of suspended and dissolved matter from rivers was analysed, and marine phyto- and bacterio plankton were studied (Kravchishina et al. 2008, Ilyash et al. 2011). The Multisizer 3 modification of a Coulter counter (~2000 samples) was used for the study of the concentration by volume and grain size of the SPM (Mercus 2009). The measurements of the Chla concentration in the water (326 samples) were performed by fluorometry using the Trilogy 1.1 fluorometer (Turner Designs).
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Arar and Collins (1997). To analyse the spatial distribution of the SPM and Chl<a concentration and its variations, data from the MODIS-Aqua colour scanner were used (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov).

We chose 195 pairs of simultaneous measurements of the marine brightness coefficient and SPM concentration made during 2003–2010 (May to September). Based on the data for two spectral channels of the MODIS-Aqua colour scanner, 531 and 551 nm, the parameter of backward scatter by suspended particles (\(b_{bp}\), m\(^{-1}\)) was calculated (Burenkov et al. 2011). The algorithm to find the SPM concentration is based on a regression relationship between SPM and \(b_{bp}\), which is written for the White Sea as follows (Burenkov et al. 2011, Lisitzin et al. 2013):

\[
SPM = 22.8 \times b_{bp}^{0.53}, \quad n = 195, \quad r^2 = 0.70
\]  

(1)

where \(b_{bp}\) is particle backscattering coefficient, m\(^{-1}\) (wavelength 555 nm); SPM is in mg/L. The regression equation obtained for the White Sea, connecting \(b_{bp}\) and SPM, significantly differs from the equations for other seas, including the Barents Sea (Kopelevich et al. 2004).

A total of 68 measurements of the seawater radiance reflectance derived from data of the MODIS-Aqua ocean colour scanner were used to compare with the same number of results of direct measurements, with both datasets referring to each other by the date and location of sampling. These results were used to develop the algorithm for regional usage (Kravchishina et al. 2013):

\[
Chla = 2.13(R_{rs}(531)/R_{rs}(547))^{2.42}, \quad n = 65, \quad r^2 = 0.61
\]  

(2)

where \(R_{rs}\) is the sea luminosity coefficient for the spectra channels of 531 nm and 547 nm, and Chla is the concentration of Chl<a, μg/L.

RESULTS

Mass concentration of the SPM

The average SPM concentration beyond the river mouths is ~1.0 mg/L in summer; according to our data this value exceeds the same parameter for the Barents Sea by 3–4 times and is twice that for the Kara Sea. The interannual variations in the average values are insignificant: from 0.5 to 1.1 mg/L. Higher values of SPM concentration (>1 mg/L) are usually detected in the mouth parts of rivers and located usually in a narrow (up to 20 km wide) coastal zone.

SPM concentration may be changeable due to wind mixing and tide phase. After the storm of July 2010 (intensity was up to 4 on the Beaufort scale), the water turbidity in the surface layer increased by approx. 1.5–2 times. Using the ADCP, the SPM dynamics dependence on the tidal cycle was studied at the diurnal stations: (a) the SPM concentration peaks in the high tide period, while it increases at low tide; (b) variations in the SPM concentration have been found within the whole water column of 1.5 times or even more, both in the coastal zone and in the distant zone.

The temperature and density stratification of the water column and, as a consequence, SPM stratification (two or three layers) is most pronounced in the deep part of the sea. Density deformation of the vertical structure of the water column in summer forms a so-called “liquid bottom”, where a significant part of the SPM is collected (usually at a depth of 5–20 m). Beneath the pycnocline the SPM concentration decreases by 3–4 times. The thickness of the near-bottom nepheloid layer ranges from a few metres to a few tens of metres (average 10–20 m). The SPM concentration in the nepheloid layer of the White Sea (up to 5 mg/L in the shallow area) is close to values in the nepheloid layer of the Barents Sea. However, the turbidity and thickness of these layers is usually much lower than in the Kara, Laptev and East Siberian seas.

Chlorophyll a concentration

According to our observations, the average concentration of Chl<a in the photic layer usually exceeds 1 μg/L (reaching 9 μg/L, and sometimes 21 μg/L, in the shallow inlets of the bays), i.e. the threshold for characterizing the waters as eutrophic. The thickness of photic layer in the White Sea is 10–15 m on average (Kravchishina et al. 2013). In summer, the maximal concentration is observed in the surface water layer (about 0–10 m), sometimes in the very surface layer of 0–5 m.
When the water column is well stratified, a peak of Chla concentration is observed above the thermocline. Below the thermocline, the concentration of Chla decreases greatly with increased depth: down to 0.5 μg/L and lower in the transitional (depth range of 20–60 m) and near-bottom water masses originating from the Barents Sea. The concentration of Chla in the White Sea is significantly higher compared to the Barents Sea and the Pechora Sea, and is similar to the Kara Sea, which is also affected strongly by intensive riverine discharge.

**Satellite (calculated) data of the SPM mass concentration**

Maps of the average monthly distribution of the SPM concentration, with 2 km × 2 km spatial averaging, are given in Fig. 3 (see also the digital atlas available from [http://optics.ocean.ru](http://optics.ocean.ru)). The average annual values for the White Sea are presented in Table 1.

**Fig. 3** Average monthly values of the SPM concentration based on the data from MODIS-Aqua satellite colour scanner. Images are composites over May–September in 2003–2010, provided by IO RAS Ocean Optic Laboratory, [http://optics.ocean.ru](http://optics.ocean.ru) (Burenkov et al. 2011).

**Table 1** Calculated average annual values of SPM and Chla concentration and their mean square deviations in the White Sea according to MODIS-Aqua satellite observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>SPM (mg/L)</th>
<th>Chla (μg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2010</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Real measurements, which are highly local (“point”) in the spatial sense and nearly “instant” in the temporal sense, can show higher variations than satellite data averaged over area (2 km × 2 km) and time (day–month–year). Analysis of the seasonal and interannual variations in the SPM and Chl a concentration from satellite data has allowed us to reveal the characteristic features of these variations in the period discussed (2003–2010) (Kravchishina et al. 2013, Lisitzin et al. 2013).

Concentration by volume of the SPM
The SPM concentration by volume in the White Sea varies from 0.2 to 5 mm³/L, the average value is ~1.0 mm³/L. The highest concentrations are influenced by the river runoff area (>5 mm³/L). High numbers of micro-organisms, up to 558 000 cells/ml, were found (Kravchishina et al. 2008). The study of the concentrations with the Coulter counter demonstrated good consistency with the data obtained by the traditional method of SPM extraction using vacuum filtration in the White Sea ($y = 0.98x + 0.16, n = 345, r^2 = 0.67$).

Specific surface area of the SPM
Specific surface area of the SPM varies from 4000 to 13 000 cm²/ml in the White Sea. The SPM has a developed surface (especially in the areas of the river’s mouth) and correspondingly high sorption capacity of the particles. The developed surface of the SPM may also indicate higher biogeochemical activity of its particles. We revealed the influence of the pelitic fraction (<10 μm) content on the abundance of bacteria in different parts of the sea in summer (Kravchishina et al. 2008).

Grain size distribution
On average, the SPM consists of 70–80% pelitic fractions (2–10 μm), i.e. the share of silt and sand fractions accounts for only 20–30%. The predominant fraction (2–5 μm) content is usually less than 50%. Particles do not pass the full mechanical (according to specific weight) and biological separation in the water body. The high content of pelitic fraction clearly marks the pathways of transformed river waters of the Northern Dvina (Kravchishina and Lisitzin 2011).

Mineral composition of the SPM
According to X-ray diffraction analyses (42 samples) the share of clay minerals in the SPM is 40% and higher. Almost half of the crystalline phase of the SPM consists of clay minerals. Among them, the primary role belongs to illite (35–57% of the amount of clay in the fraction <10 μm). A high content of illite was found in pelitic (<10 μm) and in subcolloidal (<1 μm) fractions. Smectite was found in relatively large amounts (8–30%), which has the greatest dispersity and tends to subcolloidal fraction (Saukel et al. 2010, Kravchishina and Dara 2014). Chlorite and kaolinite content varies from 15 to 27%. A similar composition of clay minerals in SPM was found typical for other Russian Arctic seas (Kara, Laptev), which have exposed large plain rivers crossing several natural zones (Müller and Stein 1999). The fine clastic part of the minerals were present in the SPM everywhere, both in coastal parts and in the open sea. Among them, quartz and feldspars provide basic background (50%). The fine grains of these minerals reach the open sea areas, enriching the pelitic fraction of muds during sedimentation processes.

Biogenic particles and OM
The fraction of phytoplankton particles (based on the phytoplankton biomass) in the total SPM content in the White Sea reaches 60–65%. The content of terrigenous particles decreases with distance from the river mouths, although remains quite high (Kravchishina and Lisitzin 2011). Comparison of the SPM concentrations by volume (0.5–5 mm³/L) with the amount of phytoplankton (0.12–3.65 mm³/L) has shown the following fractions of phytoplankton in the SPM in
different regions of the sea: 27% in the vertex of Dvina Bay, 40% in the basin, and 65% in Kandalaksha Bay (Ilyash et al. 2011).

Content of Corg in the SPM varies from 5 to 30% (automatically measured by the culonometric method). In the Northern Dvina River mouth area, all the seasons are characterized by the dominant role of the dissolved OM. Dissolved Corg largely represents a conservative allochthonous substance dominated by humic components (up to 60–80%) liable to association, condensation, and formation of polydisperse systems and complexes with metals (Gordeev and Rachold 2003).

DISCUSSION

The data from the colour scanner characterize the upper (a few metres at most) part of the White Sea; this roughly corresponds to the layer where the maximal concentrations of SPM and Chl a are recorded (0–5 m, rarely at deeper horizons).

The distribution and dynamics of SPM within the active layer are determined by the sources of SPM. In the open water period the sources are allochthonous material (mostly transported by flows of large rivers) and autochthonous (biogenic) material. Allochthonous material is supplied primarily by the Northern Dvina River (the peak is during high water in May), and this is seen explicitly from the satellite SPM maps. The distribution of autochthonous material is determined by production of phytoplankton, as reflected by the satellite maps of Chl a distribution. In the beginning of the hydrological spring, the main sources are kryosols and algal flora supplied during melting of sea and river ice.

The values of the SPM concentration decrease by a factor of 5–10 with distance off the coast. In the coastal zone the SPM concentration depends mostly on the conditions of the wind and waves and tidal mixing of water, and sorting of the matter supplied from land in terms of hydraulic size. In river mouths the SPM distribution is mostly determined by isohaline positions: the SPM concentration decreases exponentially by up to 79% as salinity increases (Kravchishina and Lisitzin 2010). In the basin, increased SPM concentration (>1 mg/L) is usually related to local growth of phytoplankton associations, under the condition of biogenic substances and photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) being available.

The greatest amplitude of the average annual variations in the SPM concentration is observed in Dvina, Onega and Mezen bays, and in the eastern part of Gorlo-Voronka region, i.e. in the water areas affected by river runoff. But the greatest relative difference between the average annual values for different years is 18%. Hereinafter, the significance value is 0.05 (confidence probability is 95%). The minimal average annual value of the SPM concentration for the entire sea was observed in 2007, the year of lowest ice coverage in the Arctic region.

Based on the satellite data, the interannual linear trends of sea surface temperature, SPM concentration, Chl a content, and yellow matter absorption have been estimated; these estimates have shown the absence of a significant trend for the discussed period of 2003–2010. The variability of annual averages of the Chla concentration was assessed. For the study period, it did not exceed 8%. We revealed the similarity of seasonal dynamics of Chl a and the SPM concentrations. This is primarily preconditioned by the same factor, i.e. by the riverine discharge, which carries both SPM and nutrients to the sea.

The maximal concentration of Chl a (3–9 μg/L) was registered during the summer period at depths of 0–5 m and rarely in deeper layers. Most of the OM is synthesized by the phytoplankton during photosynthetic processes in a relatively shallow surface water layer of 0–10 m, i.e. in the most biochemically-active water layer.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the field observation data, the SPM concentration in the White Sea’s active layer is ~1 mg/L, on average. The primary sources of SPM in the White Sea have been found: mineral particles of river origin and marine phytoplankton. First of all, this is suspended material supplied from the Northern Dvina River basin with water flow. Chla concentration (marker of PP) is preconditioned by the same factor – riverine discharge, which carries not only SPM, but also
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nutrients. Interannual variations in SPM concentration for the White Sea are low and, based on our multi-annual calculations, do not exceed 18%. Hence, they are statistically insignificant. It was shown that the SPM concentration cannot be a criterion for assessing the effects of climate warming in the Arctic.
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